



Sub-Pixel Offset Tracking at Volcanoes





Mark Bemelmans<sup>1,2,4,5\*</sup>, Juliet Biggs<sup>1,2,5</sup>, Mike Poland<sup>3,5</sup>, James Wookey<sup>1</sup>

Summary

 $\mathbf{O}^{\dagger}$ 

procedure

Lots of

**SAR data** 

Number of

\* Corresponding author: mark.bemelmans@bristol.ac.uk

# **SUMMARY:**

- Volcanoes can create large (>1 m) displacements which cannot be tracked with InSAR.
- We define a robust procedure for displacement tracking using sub-pixel offset tracking (SPOT) with SAR data.
- We use outlier detection and removal using PCA and HDBSCAN as well as averaging several window sizes to improve precision.
- We test the procedure on Merapi volcano. Where we see complex cm-m scale displacements on the steep slopes of the volcano with cm precision.

# InSAR vs. Sub-Pixel Offset Tracking (SPOT)

There are **two** ways to extract displacement from SAR data: **InSAR** and **Sub-Pixel Offset Tracking (SPOT).** 

## What is **SPOT**?

SPOT measures the offset of matching pixel patterns between two images taken at different times.

## InSAR

- No large baseline
- Max. displacement gradient: 1 fringe/pixel 1/10 × pixel size.
- Minimum detection limit: 1 cm per

Fig 1. Example of an interferogram where the fringes get too dense to be useful.

50 m

### Sub-Pixel Offset Tracking (SPOT)

- Uses SAR intensity data
- Minimum detection limit:
- Gives offset in slant range and azimuth direction.

 Reduced baseline dependence. interferogram, ≤1 mm for time series.

## SPOT and image pair selection

### Why use SPOT?

- In extreme cases volcanoes can show large (>1m) deformation over small areas (<2 km). Often in areas with no or sparse ground monitoring.
- These large displacement (gradients) cannot be tracked with InSAR. But SPOT can track these large displacements.
- The reduced baseline dependence of SPOT also allows us to process more data to get complete temporal coverage.
- High resolution SAR is needed to increase the precision of SPOT. •



















Scan the QR-code to

Fig 2: Sub-Pixel Offset Tracking set-up. (Left) shows correlation surface with offset estimation at the peak.



Time

Link to volcanic

processes:

**K**K

Offset 0 5

xXx

**Procedure**, per pixel:

Before HDBSCAN and MKA NDVI

- Remove data outside 95% confidence interval.
- Only use pixel if >50% of ensemble has valid data (is not NaN). 2.
- Take mean across the ensemble. 3.

## **Benefits:**

- Improves the precision by a factor of 2-3.
- Reduces noise/outliers and 'blocky' borders/gradients, and keeps small features. **Downsides:**
- Computationally expensive to make ensemble.
- A-priori selection of window sizes. (future work to mitigate)

# References

Casu, F., Manconi, A., Pepe, A., & Lanari, R. (2011). Deformation time-series generation in areas characterized by large displacement dynamics: The SAR amplitude pixel-offset SBAS technique. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote* Sensing, 49(7), 2752-2763.

Chae, S. H., Lee, W. J., Baek, W. K., & Jung, H. S. (2019). An improvement of the performance of SAR offset tracking approach to measure optimal surface displacements. IEEE Access, 7, 131627-131637.

McInnes, L., Healy, J., & Astels, S. (2017). HDBSCAN: Hierarchical density based clustering. J. Open Source Softw., 2(11), 205. Michel, R., Avouac, J. P., & Taboury, J. (1999). Measuring ground displacements from SAR amplitude images: Application to the Landers earthquake. Geophysical Research Letters, 26(7), 875-878.

Multi-Kernel Average		Range offset std (r=50 m) [m]		Azimuth offset Std (r=50 m) [m]		NDVI [-]
- 10-D0		Before	After	Before	After	
l'ime series	Α	0.047	0.015	0.108	0.048	0.03
analysis:	В	0.388	0.133	0.366	0.123	0.85
Query	С	0.070	0.032	0.196	0.117	0.02

Fig 9 & Table 1: Comparison of offset estimation before and after HDBSCAN and MKA. B has dense vegetation, A and C don't. C has large displacements, A and B don't.

## **CONCLUSION:**

Our outlier-removed, multikernel averaged offset maps can measure displacement to 1-10 cm level precision.

